1		The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	COUNCIL ON AMERICAN-ISLAMIC	Case No. 2:20-cv-217-RSM
10	RELATIONS-WASHINGTON,	ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST
11	Plaintiff,	AMENDED COMPLAINT
12	v.	
13	UNITED STATES CUSTOMS AND	
14	BORDER PROTECTION, UNITED STATES	
15	DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	COME NOW, Defendants U.S. Customs	and Border Protection ("CBP") and U.S.
19	Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"), by	and through Brian T. Moran, United States
20	Attorney, Western District of Washington, and Michelle Lambert, Assistant United States	
21	Attorney for said District, hereby answer Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Dkt. 15, dated	
22	May 1, 2020, as follows.	
23	In response to the numbered paragraphs of the Amended Complaint, CBP ¹ admits, denies,	
24	or otherwise avers as follows:	
25	///	
26		
27	¹ Although CBP is a component of DHS, CBP responds to this Complaint on behalf of Defendants as Plaintiff directed the FOIA request at issue to CBP.	
28	ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

2:20-cv-217-RSM

PAGE-1

INTRODUCTION

 Paragraph 1 contains Plaintiff's characterizations of their lawsuit and allegations that do not set forth a Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") claim or aver facts in support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny, except admit that Plaintiff brings its claims pursuant to the FOIA.

2. The allegations in Paragraph 2 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

3. The allegations in Paragraph 3 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

4. The allegations in Paragraph 4 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

5. CBP admits that it received a FOIA request from Plaintiff, a copy of which is attached to this Answer as Exhibit A. CBP further avers that the contents of the FOIA request speaks for itself and respectfully refers the Court to the request for a complete and accurate statement of its contents. CBP is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 5. The last two sentences of Paragraph 5 contains conclusions of law, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

6. CBP denies that it unlawfully withholds records, and respectfully refers the
 Court to its responses to Plaintiff's FOIA request for a complete and accurate statement of their
 contents. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 6 are Plaintiff's characterization of the
 amended complaint, to which no response is required.

Case 2:20-cv-00217-RSM Document 17 Filed 05/12/20 Page 3 of 10

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JURISDICTION & VENUE

7. Paragraph 7 contains conclusions of law, to which no response is required. To			
the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP admits that this Court has jurisdiction			
subject to the limitations of FOIA. CBP further avers that the cited authority speaks for itself.			
8. Paragraph 8 contains conclusions of law, to which no response is required. To			
the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP avers that the cited authority speaks for			
itself.			
9. Paragraph 9 contains conclusions of law to which no response is required. To			
the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP avers that the cited authority speaks for			
itself.			
PARTIES			
10. CBP is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the			
truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 10. To the extent that a response is deemed			
necessary, deny.			
11. CBP admits that it is a federal agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552 and			
5 U.S.C. § 552a(a)(1). CBP denies the remaining allegations.			
12. DHS admits that it is a federal agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552 and			
5 U.S.C. § 552a(a)(1). CBP denies the remaining allegations.			
FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS			
13. The allegations in Paragraph 13 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in			
support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is			
deemed necessary, deny.			
14. The allegations in Paragraph 14 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in			
support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is			
deemed necessary, deny.			
15. Deny. CBP objects to the term "directive" as vague and undefined.			
ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT 2:20-cv-217-RSM PAGE- 3 UNITED STATES ATTORN 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 981 (206) 553-7970			

Case 2:20-cv-00217-RSM Document 17 Filed 05/12/20 Page 4 of 10

16. Paragraph 16 contains Plaintiff's characterization of a "purported directive," to which the Court is respectfully referred for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
CBP objects to the term "directive" as vague and undefined. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

17. Paragraph 17 contains Plaintiff's characterization of a "directive," to which the Court is respectfully referred for a complete and accurate statement of its contents. CBP objects to the term "directive" as vague and undefined. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

18. Paragraph 18 contains Plaintiff's characterization of a "directive," to which the
Court is respectfully referred for a complete and accurate statement of its contents. CBP
objects to the term "directive" as vague and undefined. To the extent that a response is deemed
necessary, deny.

19. The allegations in Paragraph 19 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

20. The allegations in Paragraph 20 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

21. The allegations in Paragraph 21 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

2 22. The allegations in Paragraph 22 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in
3 support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is
4 deemed necessary, deny.

25 23. The allegations in Paragraph 23 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in
26 support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is
27 deemed necessary, deny.

ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT 2:20-cv-217-RSM PAGE- 4 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-7970 24. The allegations in Paragraph 24 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

25. The first sentence in Paragraph 25 does not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 25 consist of Plaintiff's characterization of its FOIA request. CBP admits that it received a FOIA request from Plaintiff, a copy of which is attached to this Answer as Exhibit A. CBP further avers that the contents of the FOIA request speaks for itself and respectfully refers the Court to the request for a complete and accurate statement of its contents. CBP denies any remaining allegations in Paragraph 25.

26. Paragraph 26 consists of Plaintiff's characterization of its FOIA request. CBP further avers that the contents of the FOIA request speaks for itself and respectfully refers the Court to the request for a complete and accurate statement of its contents. CBP denies any remaining allegations in Paragraph 26.

27. CBP admits that an email acknowledging Plaintiff's FOIA request and assigning it tracking number CBP-OFO-2020-024470 was sent to Aaron Korthuis on January 9, 2020.
The email speaks for itself and the Court is respectfully referred to the email for a complete and accurate statement of its contents. CBP is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegation of when Plaintiff received the email.

28. Paragraph 28 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP avers that the FOIA statute speaks for itself and denies any characterization of FOIA inconsistent with the language of the statute.
CBP admits that it did not respond to the request by February 7, 2020 or provide Plaintiff with a request of an extension.

25 29. CBP admits the allegation in Paragraph 29 that it responded to Plaintiff's FOIA
26 request on April 15, 2020, to which the Court is respectfully referred for a complete and
27 accurate statement of its contents.

ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT 2:20-cv-217-RSM PAGE– 5

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-7970

1

- 30. Paragraph 30 contains Plaintiff's characterization of CBP's FOIA response, to which the Court is respectfully referred for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 31. CBP admits the allegation in Paragraph 31 that it provided a supplemental response to Plaintiff's FOIA request on April 30, 2020, to which the Court is respectfully referred for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 32. Paragraph 32 contains Plaintiff's characterization of CBP's FOIA responses, to which the Court is respectfully referred for complete and accurate statements of their contents.
- 33. Paragraph 33 contains Plaintiff's characterization of CBP's FOIA responses, to which the Court is respectfully referred for complete and accurate statements of their contents.

34. The allegations in Paragraph 34 consist of uncited assertions of fact which are not material to a FOIA claim and to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

35. The allegations in Paragraph 35 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

36. The allegations in Paragraph 36 consist of Plaintiff's characterization of a Press Release that does not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny CBP.

37. The allegations in Paragraph 37 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

38. The allegations in Paragraph 38 do not set forth a FOIA claim or aver facts in support of a FOIA claim to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 38.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT 2:20-cv-217-RSM PAGE– 6 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-7970 39. CBP is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 39. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, deny.

4	CLAIMS FOR RELIEF	
5	Count I	
6	Violation of Freedom of Information Act (Failure to Provide Timely Response to FOIA Request)	
7	40. CBP incorporates by reference its responses contained in the preceding	
8	paragraphs of this Answer as if fully set forth herein.	
9	41. The allegations in Paragraph 41 consist of legal conclusions, to which no	
10	response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP avers that the	
11	FOIA statute speaks for itself and denies any characterization inconsistent with the language of	
12	the statute.	
13	42. The allegations in Paragraph 42 consist of legal conclusions, to which no	
14	response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP avers that the	
15	FOIA statute speaks for itself and denies any characterization inconsistent with the language of	
16	the statute.	
17	43. The allegations in Paragraph 32 consist of legal conclusions, to which no	
18	response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP avers that the	
19	FOIA statute speaks for itself and denies any characterization of the FOIA inconsistent with the	
20	language of the statute.	
21	44. The allegations in Paragraph 44 consist of legal conclusions, to which no	
22	response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP avers that the	
23	FOIA statute speaks for itself and denies any characterization of the FOIA inconsistent with the	
24	language of the statute.	
25	45. The allegations in Paragraph 45 consist of legal conclusions, to which no	
26	response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP avers that the	
27		

ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT 2:20-cv-217-RSM PAGE– 7

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-7970

1	FOIA statute speaks for itself and denies any characterization of the FOIA inconsistent with the	
2	language of the statute. CBP further avers that Plaintiff is not entitled to the requested relief as	
3	it has provided its response to Plaintiff's FOIA request.	
4	Count II	
5	Violation of Freedom of Information Act (Unlawfully Withheld Agency Records)	
6	46. CBP incorporates by reference its responses contained in the preceding	
7	paragraphs of this Answer as if fully set forth herein.	
8	47. The allegations in Paragraph 47 consist of legal conclusions, to which no	
9	response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP avers that the	
10	FOIA statute and CBP regulations speak for themselves and denies any wrongful withholdings.	
11	48. The allegations in Paragraph 48 consist of Plaintiff's request for relief, to which	
12	no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP avers that	
13	Plaintiff is not entitled to the requested relief as it has provided its response to Plaintiff's FOIA	
14	request.	
15	Count III	
16	Violation of Freedom of Information Act (Failure to Conduct Adequate Search)	
17	49. CBP incorporates by reference its responses contained in the preceding	
18	paragraphs of this Answer as if fully set forth herein.	
19	50. Deny.	
20	51. The allegations in Paragraph 51 consist of legal conclusions, to which no	
21	response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP avers that the	
22	FOIA statute speaks for itself and denies any characterization of the FOIA inconsistent with the	
23	FOIA statute speaks for itself and denies any characterization of the FOIA inconsistent with the	
25	language of the statute.	
24		
	language of the statute.	
24	language of the statute. 52. The allegations in Paragraph 52 consist of Plaintiff's request for relief, to which	

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101 (206) 553-7970

PAGE-8

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
2	The remainder of Plaintiff's Complaint sets out Plaintiff's prayer for relief, to which no	
3	response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, CBP avers that	
4	Plaintiff is not entitled to the requested relief.	
5	GENERAL DENIAL	
6	Pursuant to Rule 8(b)(3), CBP denies all allegations in the Amended Complaint which i	
7	has not otherwise specifically admitted or denied herein.	
8	DEFENSES	
9	1. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted under FOIA.	
10	2. This Court lacks jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims to the extent that they are moot.	
11	3. Plaintiff has not established a right to attorney's fees.	
12	4. To the extent that the Complaint refers to or quotes from external documents or other	
13	sources, CBP's answer may refer to these materials; however, CBP's references are not	
14	intended to be, nor should they be construed to be, and admission that the materials cited in	
15 16	Plaintiff's Complaint are: (a) correctly cited or quoted by Plaintiff; (b) relevant to this, or any	
10	other, action; or (c) admissible in this, or any other, action.	
18	5. CBP reserves the right to amend, supplement, and assert additional affirmative	
19	defenses.	
20	WHEREFORE, CBP respectfully requests that the Court dismiss all claims in the	
21	Amended Complaint and grant it such other relief as may be just and appropriate.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT 2:20-cy-217-RSM UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220	

PAGE-9

1	DATED this 12th day of May, 2020.	
2		Respectfully submitted,
3		BRIAN T. MORAN
4		United States Attorney
5		<u>s/ Michelle Lambert</u> MICHELLE LAMBERT, NYS#4666657
6		Assistant United States Attorney
7		United States Attorney's Office 120 Pacific Avenue, Ste. 700
8		Tacoma, Washington 98402 Phone: (253)428-3824
9		Email: michelle.lambert@usdoj.gov
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT 2:20-cv-217-RSM PAGE– 10	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-7970